

# Harmonic Analysis of Boolean Functions, and applications in CS

## Lecture 11

May 19, 2008

Lecturer: Guy Kindler

Scribe by: Eric Shellet

Updated: June 21, 2008

## 1 Hardness of approximation of E3-LIN-2

We refresh from last lecture the definition of the unique game problem with parameter  $k$ ,  $UG[k]$ , and of the Unique Games Conjecture (UGC).

An instance  $I$  of the problem consists of a set of vertices,  $V$ , and a set of directed edges,  $E$ . Each edge  $e \in E$  has an associated weight,  $w(e) > 0$  such that  $w(E) = \sum_{e \in E} w(e) = 1$  and an associated permutation  $\tau_e \in S_k$ . An assignment  $A$  for  $I$  is  $A : V \rightarrow [k]$ , and  $val_I(A) = \mathbb{P}_{e=(u,v) \sim E} [A(v) = \tau_e(A(u))]$ .

UGC states that for all small enough  $\delta, \epsilon > 0$  there is a  $k$  such that distinguishing between an instance  $I \in UG[k]$  that satisfies  $opt(I) \geq 1 - \epsilon$  and an instance  $J \in UG[k]$  for which  $opt(J) \leq \delta$  is NP-hard.

While hardness for  $UG[k]$  is only conjectured, we can actually prove it for  $LC[k]$  — where we have a general function instead of a permutation. Note that  $LC[k]$  is hard with a  $(1, \delta)$ -gap, which is clearly impossible in the case of  $UG$ .

The goal of this lecture is to prove that UGC implies hardness of approximation for E3-LIN-2, which was defined in the previous lecture.

**Theorem 1** *Assuming UGC, for all small enough  $\delta, \epsilon > 0$ , it is NP-hard to distinguish between instances of E3-LIN-2 which satisfy  $opt(I) > 1 - \epsilon$  and instances where  $opt(I) < \frac{1}{2} + \delta$ .*

We prove Theorem 1 by showing a (polynomial-time) reduction  $r[k]$  from an instance  $I$  in  $UG[k]$  to an instance  $I'$  of E3-LIN-2 such that

$$opt(I) > 1 - \epsilon \implies opt(I') > 1 - 2\epsilon \tag{1}$$

and

$$opt(I) < \frac{\delta^3}{32 \log_{(1-2\epsilon)}(\delta/4)} \implies opt(I') < \frac{1}{2} + \delta, \tag{2}$$

and the number of equations in  $I'$  is bounded by  $C(\delta, \epsilon) \cdot |V(I) + E(I)|$ .

Given the set of vertices in  $I$ ,  $V(I)$ , we generate a set of variables  $V' = \{f_v(x)\}_{v \in V, x \in \{\pm 1\}^k}$ .

Thus  $|V'| = 2^k |V|$ .

For  $E'$  we pick  $e = (u, v) \sim E$  and apply the permutation-test with parameter  $r \in \{f_u, f_v\}$  and the permutation  $\tau_e$ , using the random parameters  $x, y \sim \mu_{1/2}^{(k)}, z \sim \mu_\epsilon^{(k)}, \eta \sim \mu_{1/2}^{(1)}$ .

Explicitly, we have

$$E' = \left\{ f_u(x)f_u(y) = \eta f_v(\eta\tau_e(xyz)) : x, y, z \in \{\pm 1\}^k, \eta \in \{\pm 1\}, e = (u, v) \in E \right\},$$

where the weight of each equation is  $w((u, v)) 2^{-3k} (1 - \epsilon)^{|\{i: z_i=1\}|} e^{|\{i: z_i=-1\}|}$ . Notice that  $|E'| = 2^{3k+1} |E|$ .

### 1.1 Correctness of Reduction

To complete the proof of the theorem, it is enough to show that the above reduction has properties (1) and (2).

First we show (1) (completeness):

Assume  $\text{opt}(I) > 1 - \epsilon$ , and let  $A$  be an assignment with  $\text{val}_I(A) > 1 - \epsilon$ . Define  $A'$  for  $I'$  by setting  $A'(f_v(x)) = \chi_{A(v)}(x)$ . Fixing the assignment  $A'$ , the notation we chose for the variables of the E3-LIN-2 instance allow us to view them as functions of the binary word  $x$ . Thus, somewhat abusing notation, we identify  $f_v(x)$  with its assignment  $A'(f_v(x))$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{val}_{I'}(A') &= \mathbb{P}_{e \sim E'} [A' \text{ satisfies } e] \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}_{e \sim E} [A \text{ satisfies } e] \mathbb{P}_{x, y, z, \eta} [f_u(x)f_u(y) = \eta f_v(\eta\tau_e(xyz)) \mid A \text{ satisfies } e] \\ &\geq \text{val}_I(A)(1 - \epsilon) \geq (1 - \epsilon)^2 > 1 - 2\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

where conditioning on  $A$  satisfying  $e$  gives that  $f_v = \chi_{A(v)} = \chi_{\tau_e(A(u))} = f_u$ , and thus we may use the  $1 - \epsilon$  completeness of the permutation test.

To prove (2), we show the contrapositive, namely, that if  $r[k](I) = I'$ , and  $\exists A'$  with  $\text{val}_{I'}(A') \geq \frac{1}{2} + \delta$  then  $\text{opt}(I) \geq \frac{\delta^3}{32 \log_{(1-2\epsilon)}(\delta/4)}$ . The assignment  $A'$  defines a function  $f_v(\cdot)$  for each  $v \in V$ . To use soundness of the permutation test, we need to show there are enough edges  $(u, v) \in E$  for which  $f_v(x), f_u(x)$  satisfy their equations in  $I'$  with good probability on a random  $x$ . This will be a consequence of our assumption on  $\text{val}_{I'}(A')$  which lower bounds the weight of the  $|E'|$  equations that are satisfied. Using soundness, we will randomly decode  $f_v(\cdot)$  for each vertex  $v$  in  $V$  and prove that the average value of our random assignment to  $I$  is lower bounded by the function of  $\delta$  appearing in 2. Since there is a deterministic choice of  $A$  for which  $\text{val}(I)$  is at least the average, this will prove what we want.

For each  $v \in V$  choose  $D^v$  at random from either  $D_1(f_v)$  or  $D_2(f_v)$  (the permutation test decoders for the first and second word) to obtain a word  $\chi_i$ , and let  $A$  assign  $i$  to  $v$  (if we get  $\perp$  we assign an arbitrary label).

We use the following lemma to prove that  $\mathbb{E}[\text{val}_I(A)]$  is large.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $X$  be an r.v. satisfying  $0 \leq X \leq 1$ , then*

$$\mathbb{P}[X \geq \alpha] \geq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X] - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \tag{3}$$

**Proof**

$$1 \cdot \mathbb{P}[X \geq \alpha] + \alpha(1 - \mathbb{P}[X > \alpha]) \geq \mathbb{E}[X]$$

■

For  $e = (u, v) \in E$ , let  $P_e = \mathbb{P}_{x,y,z,\eta}$  [p-rotation test[ $\epsilon$ ] accepts on  $f_u, f_v, \tau_e$  with  $A'$ ]. The law of total probability gives

$$\frac{1}{2} + \delta < \text{val}_{I'}(A') = \mathbb{P}_{e \sim E} [A' \text{ sat. } e] = \mathbb{E}_{e=(u,v) \sim E} [P_e].$$

Thus using 3,

$$\mathbb{P}_{e=(u,v) \sim E} \left[ P_e \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right] \geq \frac{\delta/2}{1 - (1/2 + \delta/2)} > \delta.$$

Let  $\mathcal{E} = \{e \in E : P_e \geq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2}\}$  be the subset of digests for which the test passes with good probability. The above shows the probabilistic weight of digests in  $\mathcal{E}$ ,  $w(\mathcal{E}) = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{E}} w(e)$  is at least  $\delta$ . For  $e = (u, v) \in E$ , let  $\pi(e) = \mathbb{P}_{\chi_u \sim D_1(f_u), \chi_v \sim D_2(f_v)} [\chi_u(x) = \chi_v(\tau_e(x))]$  and recall that the p-rotation test has satisfaction ratio  $s(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha^2}{2 \log_{(1-2\epsilon)}(\alpha/2)}$  for soundness of  $\frac{1}{2} + \alpha$ . Thus for  $e \in \mathcal{E}$ ,  $\pi(e) \geq s(\frac{\delta}{2})$ .

Finally, we lower bound  $\mathbb{E}[\text{val}_I(A)]$  (the random decoder choice is averaged out) as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\text{val}_I(A)] &= \mathbb{E}_{\substack{e=(u,v) \sim E \\ D^u, D^v \in \mathcal{R}\{D_1, D_2\}}} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{A \text{ satisfies } e\}} \right] \\ &\geq \sum_{e=(u,v) \in \mathcal{E}} w(e) \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{D^u=D_1, D^v=D_2\}} \mathbf{1}_{\pi(e)} \mid e \in \mathcal{E} \right] \\ &\geq w(\mathcal{E}) \min_{e \in \mathcal{E}} \left\{ \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbf{1}_{\{D^u=D_1, D^v=D_2\}} \mathbf{1}_{\pi(e)} \right] \right\} \geq \frac{\delta}{4} s\left(\frac{\delta}{2}\right) \\ &= \frac{\delta^3}{32 \log_{(1-2\epsilon)}(\delta/4)}. \end{aligned}$$

and this is what we wanted.