

Design Patterns

David Talby

This Lecture

- Handle Synchronization & Events
 - ◆ Observer
- Simplify Complex Interactions
 - ◆ Mediator
- Change Behavior Dynamically
 - ◆ Strategy, State
- Undo, Macros and Versions
 - ◆ Command

10. Observer

- Define a one-to-many dependency between objects, so that changing one automatically updates others
- For example, a spreadsheet and several charts of it are open
- Changing data in a window should be immediately reflected in all

The Requirements

- Document and Chart classes must not know each other, for reuse
- Easily add new kinds of charts or other links
- A dynamic number of charts

The Solution

- Terminology
 - ◆ Subject and Observer
 - ◆ Publisher and Subscriber
 - ◆ Listeners
- Subjects attach and detach listeners, and notify of events
- Clients update themselves after receiving a notification

The Solution II

- Here's an abstract observer:


```
class Observer {
    void update() = 0;
}
```
- Concrete observers such as class *Chart* will inherit *Observer*

The Solution III


- Here's the (concrete!) subject:


```
class Subject {
    void attach(Observer *o)
        { observers.add(o); }
    void detach(Observer *o)
        { observers.remove(o); }
    void notify() {
        for i in observers do
            o->update();
        }
    protected:
        List observers;
}
```

The Solution IV

- Both subject and observer will usually inherit from other classes as well
- If multiple inheritance is not available, the observer must be a separate class that has a reference to the chart object and updates it
- Java has a special mechanism – *Inner classes* – to make this easier

The UML



The Fine Print

- Observing more than one subject
 - Update must include an extra argument to tell who is updating
- Observing only certain events
 - Attach must include an extra argument to tell which events interest this observer
- Observing small changes
 - Update includes arguments to tell what changed, for efficiency

The Fine Print II

- Who calls *Notify*?
 - Greedy – the subjects, on change
 - Lazy – the observers, on query
- Common errors
 - Forgetting to detach an object when it is destroyed
 - Calling *Notify* in an inconsistent state
- Java includes Observer as part of the standard libraries
 - In package *java.util*

Known Uses

- All frameworks of all kinds
 - MFC, COM, Java, EJB, MVC, ...
- Handle user interface events
- Handle asynchronous messages

11. Mediator

- Encapsulate a complex interaction to preserve loose coupling
- Prevent many inter-connections between classes, which means that changing their behavior requires subclassing all of them
- For example, a dialog box includes many interactions of its widgets. How do we reuse the widgets?

The Requirements


- A widget is a kind of *colleague*
- Colleagues don't know about the interactions they participate in
 - ◆ Can be reused for different dialogs
- Colleagues don't know about others
 - ◆ Allow only $O(n)$ connections
- Easy to change interactions

The Solution

- All colleagues talk with a mediator
- The mediator knows all colleagues
- Whenever a colleague changes, it notifies its mediator
- The mediator codes the interaction logic, and calls operations on other colleagues


The Solution II

- An example interaction:




The Solution III

- Only $O(n)$ connections:



The UML



The Fine Print

- The interaction logic (mediator) and colleagues can be reused separately and subclassed separately
- Protocols are simpler since n-to-1 relations replace n-to-m relations
- Abstract mediator class is unnecessary if there's only one mediator
- Observer or mediator?
 - ◆ One-to-many or many-to-many?
 - ◆ Should the logic be centralized?

Known Uses

- Widgets in a user interface
 - ◆ Delphi and VB "hide" this pattern
- Connectivity constraints in diagrams

12. Strategy

- A program must switch between complex algorithms dynamically
- For example, a document editor has several rendering algorithms, with different time/beauty tradeoffs
- Word is a common example

The Requirements

- Algorithms are complex, would be havoc to have them inside the one *Document* class
- Switch algorithms dynamically
- Easy to add new algorithms

The Solution

- Define an abstract class that represents an algorithm:


```
class Renderer {
    void render(Document *d) = 0;
}
```
- Each specific algorithm will be a descendant class



```
FastRenderer, TexRenderer, ...
```

The Solution II

- The document itself chooses the rendering algorithm:


```
class Document {
    render() {
        renderer->render(this);
    }
    setFastRendering() {
        renderer = new FastRenderer();
    }
private: Renderer *renderer;
}
```

The GoF UML



The Fine Print

- Inheriting a strategy would deny a dynamic switch
- Some strategies may not use all information passed from Context
- Strategies can be stateless, and then they can be shared
- In some cases strategy objects are optional

Known Uses

- Document rendering programs
- Compiler code optimizations
- Different heuristic algorithms (games, portfolio selection)
- Different memory management schemes (Booch components)
- Validation of dialog boxes (optional strategies, Borland ObjectWindows)

13. State

- Allow an object to alter its behavior when its internal state changes
- For example, most methods of a *TCPConnection* object behave in different ways when the connection is closed, established or listening
- How do we encapsulate the logic and data of every state?

The Requirements


- A class has a state diagram, and many methods behave in wildly different ways in different states
- When in a state, only allocate memory for data of that state
- The logic of a specific state should be encapsulated

Pattern of Patterns


- Encapsulate the varying aspect
 - ◆ State of an object
- Interfaces
 - ◆ Let's have a *TCPState* interface that has all the state-sensitive methods
- Inheritance describes variants
 - ◆ *TCPEstablished*, *TCPListen* and *TCPClosed* implement the interface
- Composition allows a dynamic choice between variants

The Solution II

- A *TCPConnection* codes state transitions and refers to a *TCPState*



The UML



The Fine Print

- In complex cases it is better to let states define transitions, by adding a *SetState* method to *Context*
- States may be created on-demand or on *Context*'s creation
- This pattern is really a workaround for the lack of *dynamic inheritance*
- State is very much like Strategy
 - ◆ State = Many (small) actions
 - ◆ Strategy = One (complex) action

Known Uses

- Streams and connections
- Different tools on a drawing program
 - ◆ Select, Erase, Crop, Rotate, Add, ...

14. Command

- Encapsulate commands as objects
- We'll take the the uses one by one:
 - ◆ Undo/Redo
 - ◆ Macros
 - ◆ Queues and logs
 - ◆ Version control
 - ◆ Crash recovery
 - ◆ Message Grouping

The Requirements I

- Undo / redo at unlimited depth
- Only store relevant data for undo
- Easy to add commands

The Solution

- Represent a command as a class:

```
class Command
{
public:
    virtual void execute() = 0;
    virtual void undo() = 0;
}
```

The Solution II

- Concrete commands hold undo data:

```
class DeleteLine : public Command {
    void execute() {
        line = document->getLine();
        document->removeLine();
    }
    void undo() {
        document->addLine(line);
    }
private:
    Line line;
}
```

The Solution III

- Keep a list of executed commands:

```
Array<Command*> commands;
int i;
```

- When you click the 'Undo' button:

```
commands(i)->undo();
i--;
```

- When you click the 'Redo' button:

```
commands(i)->execute();
i++;
```

The Solution IV

- Whenever a command is activated:

```
commands.add(new_command);
i = commands.count();
```

- When you save a document:

```
document->save();
commands.clear();
i = 0;
```


- The commands list may or may not be limited in size
- Only relevant undo data is kept

The Requirements II

- Macros are a series of commands
- Any command with any of its options may be used
- There are also *for* and *while* loops, *if* statements, calls to other macros...

The Solution

- A macro is a Composite Command



- if*, *for*, *while* are Decorator Commands

The Requirements III

- Commands are accessible from menus as well as toolbars
- A command may be available from more than one place
- We'd like to configure the menus and toolbars at runtime

The Solution

- Each *MenuItem* or *ToolBarItem* refers to its command object
- Just as it refers to an image
- The command can be configured
 - ◆ Less command classes
- Macros fit in the picture as well!!

The Requirements IV

- Keep multiple versions of a document
- When saving, only store the changes from the previous version

The Solution

- The changes are exactly the list of commands since the last version was loaded
- In addition, a compaction algorithm is needed for commands that cancel each other
- Save = Serialize the compacted list
- Load = Read early version and call execute on command lists

(More!) Known Uses

- Programs log commands to disk so they can be used in case of a crash
 - ◆ Works, since commands are small
 - ◆ Usually in a background thread
- Commands can be grouped and sent as one command to a server
 - ◆ Grouping for efficient communication
 - ◆ Grouping to define a transaction
 - ◆ Works even for user defined macros!

Summary

- Pattern of patterns
 - ◆ Encapsulate the varying aspect
 - ◆ Interfaces
 - ◆ Inheritance describes variants
 - ◆ Composition allows a dynamic choice between variants
- Design patterns are old, well known and thoroughly tested ideas
 - ◆ Over twenty years!